Applicant(s) Application No. 09/521,139 PRESTRELSKI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Humera N. Sheikh 1615 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Humera N. Sheikh. (2) Wendy Huber. Date of Interview: 11 February 2005. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: no. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner was notified of the intentional abandonment of application 09/521,139 and that no reply would be sent in response to the Final Office Action filed 06/15/04, in view of the filing of a Continuation Application, 10/987,316, filed 11/15/2004. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. James Mi Spear JAMES M. SPEAR PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1615 Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required